

Framework contract 939708-2020-IPR



In-season Crop Type Map & Crop Mask Kenya - short rains season – 2022-2023



Reference : In-season mapping - Kenya - short rains season – 2022-2023 Issue 1.0 - 27/04/2023

Limited distribution/Diffusion limitée

Parc de la Cimaise I, 27 rue du Carrousel, 59650 Villeneuve d'Ascq, France Tel +33 (0)3 20 72 53 64 Fax +33(0)3 20 98 05 78

www.sirs-fr.com





TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Intr	oduction	1
2	Sun	nmary of data used	2
	2.1	Satellite data – Sentinel-2	2
	2.2	Fieldwork data	4
3	Wo	rkflow	6
	3.1	Pre-processing	6
	3.2	Classification	8
	3.3	Map production	10
	3.4	Validation	12
	3.5	Area estimates	16
4	Cor	nclusions	19



ii



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Warning map - End of January (Source: ASAP - Anomaly Hotspots of Agricult	ural
Production)	1
Figure 2. Kenya AOI overlaid with the S2 tile-based grid and the fieldwork segments	2
Figure 3. Preparation of fieldwork data for training and validation.	5
Figure 4. Field with sisal & maize in mixed cropping	5
Figure 5. Bare field prepared for cultivation but awaiting (belated) rainfall	6
Figure 6: Sentinel-2 monthly synthesis composite (true colour RGB composition), 15/11/2022,	, tile
36MXD.	7
Figure 7. Raw classification output in-season crop type map Kenya	8
Figure 8. In-season Crop Mask for the short rains season 2021 in Kenya	10
Figure 9. In-season Crop Type map for the short rains season 2022-2023 in Kenya	11
Figure 10. Confusion matrix for in-season Crop Type map of the short rains season 2022-2023	12
Figure 11. Confusion matrix for in-season Crop Mask of the short rains season 2022-2023	13
Figure 12: Biomass and water balance red alerts (source: EU ASAP service)	14
Figure 13: Seasonal Combined Drought Indicator – January-March 2023 (Source: East Africa Drou	ught
Watch https://droughtwatch.icpac.net/mapviewer/)	15
Figure 14: Mixed cropping fields and crop area estimates (non-dominant crop study case)	16

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. S2 tiles covering the AOI for Kenya	2
Table 2. Nomenclature for Crop Mask	9
Table 3. Nomenclature for Crop Type map	9
Table 4: Area estimates for the in-season mapping of the short rains season 2022-2023 in Ke	nya 18

Issues :

1.0

26-04-2023

First version submitted to JRC

COPERNICUS4GEOGLAM Reference: In-season mapping - Kenya - short rains season – 2022-2023 - Issue 1.0 – 27/04/2023

Limited distribution/Diffusion limitée © 2021 Groupe CLS. All rights reserved. Proprietary and Confidential.



1 Introduction

This document describes the in-season mapping of the crop type and crop mask for an Area Of Interest (AOI) in Kenya during the short rains season 2022-2023. The AOI is extended from 99,000 km² (applied in 2021) to 181,000 km² for the current season. This document summarizes the workflow and any methodological change (put in place to obtain the above-mentioned products) with respect to what was described in the feasibility study and conducted during the previous long-, and short rains seasons. The document also describes the satellite imagery and the ground truth data used for the classification. The document only describes in detail the fieldwork and satellite data pre-, and post-processing as far as they are different from what has been described in detail in the feasibility study for Kenya.

The current short rains season in Kenya has been partially affected by a severe drought^{12,} that has affected the south-eastern regions of the AOI and consequently the results of the in-season mapping. The drought has probably affected crop growth during the season in these regions. Crop and livestock production has been severely affected or failed in large parts of the Horn (mainly in Somalia, but also areas of Kenya, southern Ethiopia and parts of Uganda and Tanzania). This region has experienced a negative record of five consecutive failed rainy seasons, causing major concern to food availability and access. March has seen heavy rains in the Horn of Africa due to atmospheric humidity linked to the cyclones in the Mozambique corridor. In addition, seasonal precipitation forecasts for April-May have improved compared with earlier forecasts. The rains will favour planting and early-stage crop development. However, due to prolonged consecutive droughts, many livelihoods have been eroded and it will take some time for them to fully recover. Due to the severe and prolonged drought that has affected parts of Ethiopia, Kenya, Somalia and to a lesser extent Uganda and Tanzania, overall vegetation conditions are still below average for this time of year. Other sources say that weather forecasts suggest that there is a reasonable chance that the upcoming March-May rains will again be below average³. If this happens, it will be an unprecedented sixth poor season, with catastrophic humanitarian consequences.



Figure 1: Warning map - End of January (Source: ASAP - Anomaly Hotspots of Agricultural Production)

COPERNICUS4GEOGLAMReference: In-season mapping - Kenya - short rains season - 2022-2023 - Issue 1.0 - 27/04/20231Limited distribution/Diffusion limitée © 2021 Groupe CLS. All rights reserved. Proprietary and Confidential.

¹ https://mars.jrc.ec.europa.eu/asap/country.php?cntry=257

² https://droughtwatch.icpac.net/mapviewer/

³ https://www.rescue.org/press-release/irc-severe-drought-projected-leave-about-54-million-people-kenya-without-adequate



2 Summary of data used

The Figure 2 below shows the extended AOI for Kenya, overlaid with the Sentinel-2 tile-based grid and the fieldwork (500x500m) square segments.



Figure 2. Kenya AOI overlaid with the S2 tile-based grid and the fieldwork segments

2.1 Satellite data – Sentinel-2

In total, approximatively 1,147 Sentinel-2A & B Level-2A images have been acquired covering 35 tiles between 01-09-2022 and 31-01-2023. The Table 1 lists the S2 data used per S2 tile ID.

Tile ID	First Date	Last Date	Number of Images
36MXD	03/09/2022	31/01/2023	27
36MXE	03/09/2022	31/01/2023	26
36MYC	03/09/2022	31/01/2023	52
36MYD	03/09/2022	31/01/2023	27
36MYE	03/09/2022	31/01/2023	26
36MZC	03/09/2022	31/01/2023	47
36MZD	03/09/2022	31/01/2023	46
36MZE	03/09/2022	31/01/2023	37
36NXF	01/09/2022	31/01/2023	44

Table 1. S2 tiles covering the AOI for Ker	างล
--	-----



Tile ID	First Date	Last Date	Number of Images
36NXG	01/09/2022	31/01/2023	51
36NYF	03/09/2022	31/01/2023	27
36NYG	03/09/2022	31/01/2023	26
36NZF	03/09/2022	31/01/2023	49
37MBT	05/09/2022	28/01/2023	17
37MBU	05/09/2022	28/01/2023	20
37MBV	03/09/2022	31/01/2023	42
37MCR	02/09/2022	30/01/2023	38
37MCS	02/09/2022	30/01/2023	38
37MCT	02/09/2022	28/01/2023	32
37MCU	05/09/2022	28/01/2023	16
37MCV	05/09/2022	28/01/2023	19
37MDR	02/09/2022	30/01/2023	19
37MDS	02/09/2022	30/01/2023	38
37MDT	02/09/2022	30/01/2023	34
37MDU	02/09/2022	30/01/2023	29
37MDV	02/09/2022	30/01/2023	23
37MEQ	02/09/2022	30/01/2023	26
37MER	02/09/2022	30/01/2023	28
37MES	02/09/2022	30/01/2023	21
37MET	27/09/2022	30/01/2023	18
37MFS	02/09/2022	30/01/2023	56
37MFT	02/09/2022	30/01/2023	44
37NBA	03/09/2022	31/01/2023	48
37NCA	05/09/2022	28/01/2023	22
37NDA	02/09/2022	30/01/2023	34





2.2 Fieldwork data

Besides being an autonomous deliverable, the fieldwork data is also used as input into the classification procedure as well as for the validation of the results. To maximise the use of the field data in the classification workflow, the following processing steps are undertaken:

- 1. Assign point data (actual fieldwork) to pre-digitized polygons;
- 2. Apply a negative buffer of 5m to allow removal of boundary effects between landcover types;
- 3. Deletion of polygons smaller than 0.1 ha;
- 4. Splitting of data between training (75%) & validation (25%) sets;
- 5. Manual quality check of all training/validation polygons.

In the following, additional details regarding the five steps above are provided.

1) Data on crops and other landcover classes have been acquired in the field on the basis of pre-digitized 500x500m segments (using a combination of the most recent available Very High Resolution (VHR) imagery from Google Earth/Bing Maps, Yandex, Planet and Sentinel-2 imagery from the current season). Points have been gathered for most of digitised segments and landcover classes (amongst others) are recorded. It should be noticed that some segments have not been visited in the field due to the absence of crops or for the safety of the enumerators. To create an input for classification, point data are assigned to the polygons. In the case of no point is recorded (due to e.g. inaccessibility of segment), the land cover class recorded during the first digitising of the segments prior to the field campaign, is automatically assigned. The polygons labelled "cropland" not surveyed (initially supposed to be) are excluded from the fieldwork dataset since the crop type can't be assigned. In other word, these polygons are excluded from the training dataset for the crop type mapping, from the validation and the area estimates not to bias statistics.

2) A negative buffer of 5 meters is applied to eliminate, or at least minimize, the boundary effects between different classes that will negatively impact the purity of training samples signatures. Consequently, polygons are always separated by 10 meters, which corresponds to the size of 1 Sentinel-2 pixel.

3) The acreage of each buffered polygon is calculated and all polygons smaller than 0.1 ha are deleted. Based on the past experiences, polygons below 0.1 ha are considered spectrally heterogenous and are not deemed fit to serve as input into training samples for classification. Nevertheless, this change is the only deviation from the feasibility study report and the MMU for the classification output is still set to 0.04 ha as required.

4) All the resulting polygons have been visually checked and manually edited to correct obvious errors.

5) The resulting dataset from step 1 to 4 is then split into two separate sets to be used for training and validation. 75% of the dataset is used to train the classification while the remaining 25% is used for validation of the classification results. There is no overlap between the training and validation sets to ensure complete independency of the datasets. Splitting is done at a Sentinel-2 tile level to ensure a good representativity of the samples per scene. Indeed, as explained is section 3.3, the classification workflow is applied per S2-based block.

The Figure 3 shows for a single segment each of the above-mentioned processing steps using a Sentinel-2A L3A image from 15-04-2021 as a background.







Fieldwork points overlaid on digitized polygons

Buffered features, using inside buffer of -5m.

Removal of features < MMU (0.1 ha)

Split between training (yellow) & validation (red)

Figure 3. Preparation of fieldwork data for training and validation.

Resulting from all the described processing steps, 7,850 polygons, covering approximatively 125 km² are available for the classification process. 25% are used for training and 75% for validation. In total 62 individual classes are distinguished, of which 55 individual crop types. The figures below show a few examples from the fieldwork campaign.



Figure 4. Field with sisal & maize in mixed cropping

COPERNICUS4GEOGLAMReference: In-season mapping - Kenya - short rains season - 2022-2023 - Issue 1.0 - 27/04/20235Limited distribution/Diffusion limitée © 2021 Groupe CLS. All rights reserved. Proprietary and Confidential.

Figure 5. Bare field prepared for cultivation but awaiting (belated) rainfall

Deviations from the feasibility study report:

There's been no substantial deviations from what has been proposed in the feasibility study.

3 Workflow

3.1 Pre-processing

The pre-processing of the satellite data applied was unchanged from what was proposed in the feasibility study (D1.1). For each of the two satellite data types some specific pre-processing are summarised as follows below.

Sentinel-2

Based on the Sentinel-2 L2A data, we reprocessed the cloud masks using S2cloudless and Fmask algorithms for detailed removal of clouds and cloud shadows. Monthly syntheses are then processed using the WASP algorithm (open-source solution developed by CNES⁴). For each pixel and each band (10 and 20m bands), the WASP algorithm computes the monthly synthesis using a weighted average of the cloud free surface

⁴ https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1401360

reflectance's gathered during a synthesis period of 91 days. Cloud-free pixels as close as possible to the "centre-date" are used to build a cloud-free image. The Figure 6 shows an example for tile 36MXD, with a centre-date of 15-11-2022. For this synthesis, the algorithm considers all images +/- 45 days from 15-11-2022 and takes the cloud-free pixel closest to the centre date.

Figure 6: Sentinel-2 monthly synthesis composite, 15/11/2022, tile 36MXD.

Based on these monthly synthesis, four spectral indices are computed: the Weighted Difference Vegetation Index (WDVI⁵), Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), Normalized Difference Water Index (NDWI), and Brightness Index (BI). All layers are used as input in the classification algorithm.

Landsat-8

The use of the Landsat-8 dataset was not considered as relevant since the L3A monthly synthesis images using Sentinel-2 were successfully generated. Moreover, the coarse spatial resolution of the Landsat-8 data (30m) was considered not suitable in case of Kenya when reviewing the size of the agricultural fields.

Deviations from the feasibility study report:

There's been no substantial deviations from what has been proposed in the feasibility study.

⁵ https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/092427169190005G

3.2 Classification

Crop Type – Various classification algorithms were tested during the previous services, including supervised (maximum likelihood) classification, TempCCN and Random Forest (RF). It was decided to use the RF classification as final method for the Kenyan short rains season mapping 2022-2023. The algorithm is characterized by relatively simple parameterization, a good computation efficiency, and highest accuracy. Based on monthly synthesis Sentinel-2 images (L3A), precomputed features and ground truth from fieldwork (75% for training, 25% for validation), the RF classifier has been applied on all the tiles to produce the crop type map. The initial classification output contains 62 classes (of which 55 crop types). The Figure 7 shows the result of the raw classification output, before post-processing.

Figure 7. Raw classification output in-season crop type map Kenya

Crop Mask – For the crop mask, the aggregated results from the S2-derived crop type map have been used. The rule to produce the current in-season crop mask is as follows:

Crop Type S2 map = (1 of 55 individual crop types or mixed cropping): Crops

Crop Type S2 map = (forest, natural shrubs, natural grassland, bare, urban, aquatic vegetation, water, wetlands): <u>Other landcover</u>

The nomenclature for the Crop Mask can be found in the Table 2.

Table 2. Nomenclature for Crop Mask

Code	Class	Description
1	Crops	All monoculture and mixed cropping
2	Other landcover	Forest, water, natural shrubs, natural grassland, urban, bare, aquatic vegetation, wetlands

Post-processing of the classification results has been carried out by merging and clipping all tiles into a seamless mosaic covering the entire AOI for both Crop Type and Crop Mask. The 62 classes from the raw crop type classification are merged into 10 final classes for the final map, including the 8 largest individual crop types according to fieldwork statistics & the 5 main crops as defined by the country contact. The Table 3 lists the final classes for the Crop Type map and number coding as found in the final GeoTiff files (Kenya_CropType_InSeason_ShortRains_2022-2023.tif). The nomenclature can be viewed by opening the accompanying *.lyr files provided with the above-mentioned GeoTiff files.

Code	Class	Description
1	maize	Including mixed cropping with maize as dominant crop
4	beans	Including mixed cropping with beans as dominant crop
11	potatoes	Including mixed cropping with potatoes as dominant crop
13	wheat	Including mixed cropping with wheat as dominant crop
14	sugarcane	Including mixed cropping with sugarcane as dominant crop
19	peas	Including cow peas, pigeon peas, chick peas and green peas
6	green grams	Including mixed cropping with green grams as dominant crop
12	rice	
9	other crops	all other monoculture crops and mixed cropping as well as field preparations
10	other landcover	Forest, water, natural shrubs, natural grassland, urban, bare, aquatic vegetation, wetlands

Table 3. Nomenclature for Crop Type map

Some obvious classification errors have been recoded, e.g. the presence of crop in large water bodies. A shapefile on protected area boundaries was used to recode erroneous cropland to other landcover, as no agriculture is legally supposed to be taking place in these areas. However, agricultural encroachment may sometimes take place in these protected areas (rare) and they were of course preserved in the final map. As a final step a sieve operation has been applied whereby all pixel clusters of 4 pixels and below (0.04 ha = approximate MMU for S2) are recoded to the majority surrounding class. All maps are presented in UTM, zone 36 North.

Deviations from feasibility study report:

There's been no substantial deviations from what has been proposed in the feasibility study.

3.3 Map production

Both the Crop Type map & Crop Mask are presented in A0 printable PDF map with layout including legend, north arrow, metadata, grid (UTM 37, South), relevant client and contractor logo's and scale bar. The maps are presented on 1:1.000.000 scale, the largest possible scale to fit the entire AOI on A0 format. The figures below show the in-season Crop Mask and Crop Type map for Kenya for the short rains season.

Figure 8. In-season Crop Mask for the short rains season 2021 in Kenya

Figure 9. In-season Crop Type map for the short rains season 2022-2023 in Kenya

Deviations from feasibility study proposal:

There's been no substantial deviations from what has been described in the feasibility study.

0,32

accuracy

3.4 Validation

For both the Crop Mask and Crop Type map, 25% of processed fieldwork data (that is not used for training) is used for validation. Confusion matrices are produced and F1 score per class have been calculated and can be found in the figures below. The procedures for validation were carried out as described in the technical offer. There was no need to apply correction factors because an equal sampling intensity was applied to each stratum.

Crop type in-season mapping for Kenya (shor rains)	Reference														
		Maize	Beans	Green grams	Potatoes	Rice	Wheat	Sugarcane	Peas	Other crops	Other landcover	Total	User Accuracy		F-Score
	Maize	8663	106	51	208		32	312	10	600	3272	13254	0,65		0,51
	Beans	12	22							60	12	106	0,21		0,05
	Green grams			25					8		3	36	0,69		0,09
	Potatoes				5					26		31	0,16		0,01
	Rice					0						0	N/A		N/A
Мар	Wheat	150					610				14	774	0,79		0,64
	Sugarcane	43						771		37	53	904	0,85		0,44
	Peas	1							25		38	64	0,39		0,10
	Other crops	314	44	138	2		137		65	2140	694	3534	0,61		0,29
	Other landcover	11759	541	284	461		358	1538	338	8533	215108	238920	0,90		0,94
	Total	20942	713	498	676	0	1137	2621	446	11396	219194	257623			
	Producer accuracy	0,41	0,03	0,05	0,01	N/A	0,54	0,29	0,06	0,19	0,98		Overall accuracy	0,88	
												-	Crop Type User accuracy	0,66	
													Crop Type Producer	0,32	

Figure 10. Confusion matrix for in-season Crop Type map of the short rains season 2022-2023

Figure 11. Confusion matrix for in-season Crop Mask of the short rains season 2022-2023

Figure 10 and Figure 11 show that the overall accuracy for the Crop Type map and Crop Mask is respectively reaching 88% and 89%, which is greater than the specifications mentioned in the feasibility study report (D1.1) (65% & 65%).

The crop mask for the in-season shows satisfying results for the user accuracy of the crop class (78%) with 22% of commission errors. Nevertheless, the producer accuracy is low (38%) resulting in large omission errors (62%).

The omission phenomenon is also confirmed by the confusion matrix of the crop type map, which shows low producer accuracies but very satisfying user accuracies for the different crop types. The commission errors for the "Maize", Green grams", "Wheat" and "Sugarcane" classes are respectively only 35%, 31%, 21% and 15%. Overall, the "Wheat", "Maize" and "Sugarcane" classes tend to show satisfying results with F1-Score between 0.45 and 0.65. For other classes, results are very low with F1-Score below 0.10 ("Beans", "Green grams", "Potatoes" and "Peas").

These results can be partly explained by the current short rains season in Southern and Eastern districts in Kenya influenced by anomalous weather conditions late season. Very dry conditions throughout Eastern part of the AOI probably results in very late planting and failed crops. It has been observed during our fieldwork campaign many fields during the anticipated height of the growing season were still being prepared (waiting for rains as shown in Figure 5) especially in the South-Eastern districts. The situation is confirmed on the EU ASAP service, where biomass and water balance red alerts were issued from October 2022 onwards (see Figure 12).

Baringo Bomet Bungoma Busia Embu Garissa

Homa Bay

TerraSphere

Figure 12: Biomass and water balance red alerts (source: EU ASAP service)

Due to the dry circumstances mainly in the Eastern districts, the in-season mapping might result in erroneous data because some fields showed only very few biomasses up to now resulting in confusion between bare land and cropland.

Unfortunately, the situation did not improve in the last few weeks until the end of March as shown in Figure 13 with very dry conditions throughout South-Eastern districts of the country.

Figure 13: Seasonal Combined Drought Indicator – January-March 2023 (Source: East Africa Drought Watch https://droughtwatch.icpac.net/mapviewer/)

Following these considerations, improvements of the accuracies may not be expected for the end-of-season mapping through the addition of end-of-season satellite imagery.

Deviations from feasibility study proposal:

There's been no substantial deviations from what has been described in the feasibility study.

3.5 Area estimates

As described in the feasibility study report (D1.1), crop area statistics are also provided, including:

- 1. Direct expansion estimates: area estimates from the field data alone;
- 2. Pixel count: areas measured from the in-season map alone;
- 3. Regression estimators: area estimates derived from field data combined with in- season map based on linear regression.

In the following, additional details regarding the three estimates are provided.

(1) Crop area estimates can be derived directly from the field data alone using the so-called direct expansion method since the data has been collected based on a probabilistic sample. Nevertheless, the confidence interval of the estimates derived from direct expansion is relatively large. To better consider the mixed cropping practice, all the crop surveyed in the field were taking into account for the estimates:

- 1) contributing equally to the total area of the field if no dominant crop was declared or,
- 2) the dominant crop contributing to half of the total area and the other crops surveyed contributing equally to the second half of the total.

Figure 14 illustrates the change with one example.

Figure 14: Mixed cropping fields and crop area estimates (non-dominant crop study case)

(2) Crop area estimates can be derived directly from the in-season map alone. Areas measured from digital classification have no sampling errors because they are based on pixel counts covering the whole of the AOI but they are biased because of mis-classification.

(3) To improve the precision of the estimates, field segment data (1) can be combined with classified satellite imagery (2). In this latter case, a Regression Estimator model can be applied which is more reliable than any other area estimation methodology as it provides both an area estimation per cover type together with an indication of its uncertainty. In brief, Regression Estimator relies on the combination of area estimates made at the segment level for both ground data and classified satellite imagery. The observations are paired, and a regression analysis is performed.

Table 4 shows the results of the crop area estimates for Kenya. It is interesting to notice the very good relative efficiencies for some crop types with figures greater than 2. For example for Wheat and Sugarcane, the same reduction in variance would have been achieved by increasing the size of the field survey sample by 27 or 8.

TerraSphere 🧱

Table 4: Area estimates for the in-season mapping of the short rains season 2022-2023 in Kenya

AOI Area (ha)	18 102 432,96	Maize	Beans	Green grams	Potatoes	Rice	Wheat	Sugarcane	Peas	Other crops	Other landcover
	Estimate of proportion	0,08	0,01	0,01	0,00	-	0,00	0,01	0,01	0,04	0,82
	Variance	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	-	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00
	Standard Error	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	-	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00
_	95% Confidence Interval	0,01	0,00	0,00	0,00	-	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00
Direct											
Expansion	Estimate of the class area	1 472 287,58	188 646,89	147 322,44	86 642,77	-	73 221,24	181 855,36	239 956,25	805 959,10	14 906 541,32
	Variance	7 616 161 900,97	387 739 808,47	398 109 360,63	229 265 020,27	-	1 089 464 515,87	1 816 777 960,15	611 168 898,88	3 904 727 017,84	19 403 746 699,24
	Standard Error	87 270,62	19 691,11	19 952,68	15 141,50	-	33 007,04	42 623,68	24 721,83	62 487,81	139 297,33
	95% Confidence Interval	171 050,42	38 594,58	39 107,25	29 677,34	-	64 693,79	83 542,41	48 454,79	122 476,12	273 022,77

Divel count	Map (ha)	1 094 771,99	2 807,94	1 534,75	317,98	16 105,59	7 514,08	31 604,72	653,68	170 657,24	16 776 465,00
Pixer count	Map (%)	0,06	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,01	0,93

	Regression estimate	0,07	0,01	0,01	0,00	N/A	0,00	0,01	0,01	0,03	0,85
	Variance	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	N/A	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00
	Standard Error	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	N/A	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00
	95% Confidence Interval	0,01	0,00	0,00	0,00	N/A	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00
Regression											
Estimator	Regression estimate of	1 355 184,29	167 364,94	118 560,47	64 584,95	N/A	17 561,12	108 532,77	207 848,72	594 097,82	15 378 639,59
	the class area										
	Variance	2 822 190 451,51	318 883 517,08	297 517 610,76	169 884 327,83	N/A	39 993 470,80	242 699 100,57	446 733 353,68	2 174 181 494,46	7 833 355 048,84
	Standard Error	53 124,29	17 857,31	17 248,70	13 033,97	N/A	6 324,04	15 578,80	21 136,07	46 628,12	88 506,24
	95% Confidence Interval	104 123,61	35 000,33	33 807,45	25 546,58	N/A	12 395,12	30 534,45	41 426,69	91 391,11	173 472,24

Efficiency Regression Estimator 2,70 1,22 1,34 1,35 N/A 27,24 7,49 1,37 1,80 2,	
---	--

COPERNICUS4GEOGLAM

Reference: In-season mapping - Kenya - short rains season - 2022-2023 - Issue 1.0 - 27/04/2023 18

Limited distribution/Diffusion limitée © 2021 Groupe CLS. All rights reserved. Proprietary and Confidential.

4 Conclusions

The results for the short rains season have been partly affected by the severe drought, impacting the South-Eastern parts of the AOI. Very dry conditions probably result in failed crops. The overall accuracy for the inseason Crop Type map for the short rains is 88% and the in-season Crop Mask 89%, which is better than what was mentioned in the feasibility study (both 65%). Some classes such as "Wheat" and "Maize" show satisfying and encouraging results but for some individual crops (e.g. "Beans", "Green grams", "Potatoes" and "Peas"), lower accuracies are reported. Good relative efficiencies of 2 to 27 have been achieved for the main crops.